A Statistical Model of Riemannian Metric Variation for Deformable Shape Analysis

Andrea Gasparetto, Andrea Torsello

Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali, Informatica e Statistica Universitá Ca' Foscari Venezia - via Torino, 155 - 30172 Venice Italy {andrea.gasparetto,torsello}@unive.it

The analysis of deformable 3D shape is often cast in terms of the shape's intrinsic geometry due to its invariance to a wide range of non-rigid deformations. However, object's plasticity in non-rigid transformation often result in transformations that are not completely isometric in the surface's geometry and whose mode of deviation from isometry is an identifiable characteristic of the shape and its deformation modes.

In this paper, we propose a new supervised technique to learn a statistical model build on the Riemannian metric variations on deformable shapes based on the spectral decomposition of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. To this end, we define a statistical framework that models a shape as two independent models for the eigenvectors and for the eigenvalues. The eigenvector matrices of a set of discrete representations (*i.e.* meshes representing the shape in different poses) are assumed to be points on the manifold of special orthogonal matrices SO(n). Here we assume the model to follow a Γ -distribution over the manifold geodesic distances from a manifold centroid Φ_0

$$d_g(\phi, \phi_0) \approx 2n - Tr\left(\phi^T \phi_0\right) + O(\Theta_i^4) \tag{1}$$

where Θ_i are the angles of the residual rotation $\phi^T \phi_0$.

On the other hand, we assume that the eigenvalues are log-normally distributed for the same stability considerations presented by Aubry *et al.* [1]. The shape centroid is computed as follows:

$$\underset{\phi_{0},\mathcal{R}_{i}\in\mathcal{SO}(p)}{\operatorname{argmax}} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i}^{N}\mathcal{R}_{i}\phi_{i}^{T}\phi_{0}\right) \tag{2}$$

where the rotation matrix \mathcal{R}_i is introduced in order to align the eigenvectors of the Laplacian of a mesh *i*, since its embedding is defined up to an isometry. This is solved by separately optimizing for ϕ_0 and \mathcal{R}_i in an iterative process

$$\Phi_0 = \underset{\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{O}(n)}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\sum_{i}^{N} \mathcal{R}_i \Phi_i^T\right) \Phi_0\right)$$
(3)

$$\mathcal{R}_{i} = \underset{\mathcal{R}_{i} \in \mathcal{SO}(n)}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\sum_{i}^{N} \Phi_{i}^{T} \Phi_{0}\right) \mathcal{R}_{i}\right)$$
(4)

where both optimizations can be solved exactly through Singular Value Decomposition.

We tested our method on several standard shape retrieval datasets, showing that the proposed approach is competitive with the current state-of-theart for non-rigid 3D shape retrieval.

Table 1: Comparison of different retrieval methods, in terms of average precision on the SHREC'10 [2] datasets, broken down according to different transformations.

| Transformation | VQ | Sup. DL | RMVM |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|
| Isometry | 98.8 | 99.4 | 99.4 |
| Topology | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Isometry+Topology | 93.3 | 95.6 | 99.5 |
| Partiality | 94.7 | 95.1 | 90.0 |
| Triangulation | 95.4 | 95.5 | 96.5 |
| | | | |





Figure 1: Example of the learning process of the proposed method, from the computation of the spectral decomposition of the Laplacian associated to a mesh to the definition of the two separate independent models employed in the inference phase.

Table 2: Comparison of different retrieval methods in terms of mean average precision on the SHREC'14 [3] Humans datasets.

| Method | Synthetic | Scanned |
|------------------|-----------|---------|
| ISPM | 90.2 | 25.8 |
| DBN | 84.2 | 30.4 |
| R-BiHDM | 64.2 | 64.0 |
| HAPT | 81.7 | 63.7 |
| ShapeGoogle (VQ) | 81.3 | 51.4 |
| Unsupervised DL | 84.2 | 52.3 |
| Supervised DL | 95.4 | 79.1 |
| RMVM | 96.3 | 79.5 |

References

- [1] M. Aubry, U. Schlickewei, and D. Cremers. The wave kernel signature: A quantum mechanical approach to shape analysis. In *Computer Vision Workshops (ICCV Workshops), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 1626–1633, Nov 2011. doi: 10.1109/ICCVW.2011.6130444.
- [2] Alexander M. Bronstein, Michael M. Bronstein, Leonidas J. Guibas, and Maks Ovsjanikov. Shape google: Geometric words and expressions for invariant shape retrieval. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 30(1):1:1–1:20, February 2011.
- [3] D. Pickup, X. Sun, P. L. Rosin, R. R. Martin, Z. Cheng, Z. Lian, M. Aono, A. Ben Hamza, A. Bronstein, M. Bronstein, S. Bu, U. Castellani, S. Cheng, V. Garro, A. Giachetti, A. Godil, J. Han, H. Johan, L. Lai, B. Li, C. Li, H. Li, R. Litman, X. Liu, Z. Liu, Y. Lu, A. Tatsuma, and J. Ye. SHREC'14 track: Shape retrieval of non-rigid 3d human models. In *Proceedings of the 7th Eurographics workshop on* 3D Object Retrieval, EG 3DOR'14. Eurographics Association, 2014.