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Abstract

3D model-based object recognition has been a noticeable research trend in recent years. Common methods find 2D-to-3D correspondences and make recognition decisions by pose estimation, whose efficiency usually suffers from noisy correspondences caused by the increasing number of target objects. To overcome this scalability bottleneck, we propose an efficient 2D-to-3D correspondence filtering approach, which combines a light-weight neighborhood-based step with a finer-grained pairwise step to remove spurious correspondences based on 2D/3D geometric cues. On a dataset of 300 3D objects, our solution achieves ~10 times speed improvement over the baseline, with a comparable recognition accuracy. A parallel implementation on a quad-core CPU can run at ~3fps for 1280×720 images.

1. Introduction

The recent progress in structure-from-motion (SfM) techniques [22, 23, 26] has greatly facilitated 3D reconstruction from unordered images. Reconstructed 3D models characterize intrinsic geometric structures of objects, and thus provide a compact and comprehensive object representation with good generalization capability to unseen 2D views. These advantages have inspired a trend of leveraging 3D models for recognition of rigid 3D objects [12, 16, 8], especially landmarks [13, 15, 21, 9], appearing in images.

1.1. Object recognition by 2D-to-3D registration

Basically, this stream of work treats object recognition in query images as a 2D-to-3D registration problem, which aims to estimate the camera pose of a query image relative to a 3D object model in mainly two stages as follows:

1) Identifying 2D-to-3D correspondences. A 3D model consists of a set of 3D points, each of which is visually characterized by some local features, called model features. Putative 2D-to-3D correspondences between the

2) Pose estimation for object recognition. After obtaining putative correspondences, a minimal pose solver, e.g., Perspective-3-Point (P3P), is integrated with the RANSAC paradigm [6] to estimate the camera pose. Object recognition results are determined by the number of correspondences that are consistent with the estimated pose.

The quality of putative 2D-to-3D correspondences is crucial for such a framework. Specifically, the inlier ratio (i.e., the proportion of true correspondences, called inliers) of the correspondences determines the expected number of RANSAC iterations for finding a reliable camera pose, because RANSAC, as a hypothesis-and-test scheme, relies on a randomly drawn set of all-inlier samples to reach a consensus. Consequently, a very low inlier ratio may require too many RANSAC iterations and thus lead to the failure of recognition within a limited time [15, 21].

1.2. Challenges in scalable 3D object recognition

Inspired by the success of registration-based 3D object recognition, in this paper we consider a more scalable scenario (as illustrated in Fig. 1) with generalization in several aspects: (1) the target of recognition is a large set of 3D objects that are rigid and sufficiently textured;
The query images may depict cluttered scenes where an arbitrary number of target objects appear; (3) the recognition should be done efficiently (i.e., achieving near real-time speed for hundreds of target objects). This scenario is related to a variety of real-world applications (e.g., visual search, robot manipulation) that require robust and fast object recognition.

Such a scenario requires a stable recognition accuracy and a sub-linear computational complexity, with an increasing number of target objects and an increasing complexity of query images. To achieve this scalability, it is obviously infeasible to register a query image to every 3D model in the database by linear scan. Instead, we need to index all the 3D models together to provide a sub-linear complexity for identifying 2D-to-3D correspondences. However, this strategy inevitably decreases the inlier ratio of discovered correspondences, due to the following reasons:

- There is an increasing risk that an image feature from a foreground object accidentally matches with a 3D point of irrelevant models that have locally similar appearance.
- Similarly, image features from noisy background are more likely to match with some database 3D points.
- ANN search in a crowded feature space inevitably fails to find some of the true correspondences.
- To compensate for the loss of true correspondences, it is usually necessary to retain multiple 3D points possibly matched with each image feature, at the expense of more spurious correspondences.

The noisy correspondences increase the computational cost of RANSAC-based pose estimation from two aspects:

1) **Number of RANSAC iterations.** The decreased inlier ratio of correspondences results in much more RANSAC iterations for pose estimation, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (a).

2) **Number of object hypotheses to verify.** Noisy correspondences cause spurious object hypotheses which are non-trivial to remove. Therefore, to ensure the recall of truly appearing objects whose number is unknown, we have to verify a considerable number of object hypotheses by pose estimation. Such a burden increases almost linearly with the number of database models, as shown in Fig. 2 (b).

To reduce the above burden of “many RANSAC iterations multiplied by many hypotheses”, it is crucial to improve the quality of 2D-to-3D correspondences before pose estimation. In the literature there are some solutions with similar goals but, to the best of our knowledge, none is applicable to the concerned scenario. A common strategy is to discard unpromising correspondences instantly during feature matching [15, 21]. However, in a scalable scenario where feature matching is less reliable, this strategy has a high risk of missing true correspondences and thus a low recall of recognition. Another line of work leverages the relationship (e.g., co-visibility [12], co-occurrence [14]) between correspondences to guide the random sampling of RANSAC to reduce the number of iterations. Such methods do not filter correspondences prior to RANSAC and thus suffer from the burden of many hypotheses. In [20], an explicit procedure is proposed to filter correspondences before RANSAC, but the solution is designed for inter-image correspondences rather than 2D-to-3D ones.

### 1.3. Scalable solution

In this paper, we propose an efficient filtering method to bridge the gap between noisy 2D-to-3D correspondences and efficient pose estimation. Our method removes spurious correspondences in two steps. First, a light-weight local filtering step is conducted for each correspondence by considering both 2D and 3D neighborhoods. This step prioritizes the object hypotheses and identifies a promising subset for subsequent processing. Then, a global filtering step leverages finer-grained geometric cues to filter each promising object hypothesis separately, in order to identify reliable correspondences. Such a two-step filtering procedure can significantly reduce the computational cost of subsequent pose estimation, because only a small number of promising object hypotheses are necessary to process, and meanwhile, pose estimation for each hypothesis is very fast (~100 RANSAC iterations in our experiments) due to the improved inlier ratio of correspondences.

On a dataset containing 3D models of 300 objects, the proposed solution has achieved significantly better results, i.e., an over 10 times faster speed with a comparable recognition accuracy, than the baseline method without the correspondence filtering stage. Our non-GPU implementation on a quad-core 2.93GHz machine can process ~3 images (1280×720 resolution) per second.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly reviews related work. After introducing our solution in Section 3, we present the detailed algorithms in Section 4. Extensive experimental results are reported in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 concludes this paper.
Three types: BkgdNoise, FalseModel, FalsePoint

Figure 3. An illustrative example of different types of spurious 2D-to-3D correspondences (shown in different colors and shapes). Please refer to the text for details.

2. Related work

3D-based object recognition. There is a noticeable trend of object recognition based on 3D information. Some work aims at efficient matching between 2D images and 3D models, by visual word quantization [13, 21], prioritizing 3D points [15], or tracking multi-scale features [17]. Meanwhile, another line of work builds various 3D representations for multi-view object category recognition [24, 1, 16, 8]. In contrast to these studies, we focus on 3D object instance recognition and aim at a scalable solution that handles many target objects efficiently.

Handling noisy 2D-to-3D correspondences. In the literature, some methods directly remove unreliable correspondences by ratio test on descriptor distances [15, 21] or by geometric cues of pre-defined 3D visual phrases [9], while some others leverage co-visibility [12] or co-occurrence [14] between correspondences to guide RANSAC. However, these methods are not applicable to the scalable scenario where correspondences are extremely noisy and related to various 3D models.

Scalable/efficient object recognition. Scalability and efficiency of object recognition have attracted much research effort [18, 19, 11, 5]. With a similar motivation, we scale and accelerate the 3D model-based framework, which already has the advantage of effectiveness.

Efficient RANSAC. A series of studies improve the efficiency of RANSAC [6] by local optimization [4] or guided sampling [3]. Our work on correspondence filtering before RANSAC is complementary to such methods.

3. Solution overview

In this section, we first analyze typical spurious correspondences and then briefly introduce our solution for 2D-to-3D correspondence filtering.

Three types of spurious correspondences. To effectively filter correspondences, we first summarize typical spurious correspondences into three types (as shown in Fig. 3):

- BkgdNoise: Image features from cluttered background are accidently matched with some 3D points.
- FalseModel: Image features from appearing objects are matched with 3D points of irrelevant models. As 3D reconstruction creates 3D points only from local features that are repeated sufficiently across views, the remaining local features do not have corresponding 3D points in the database, but instead may be matched with other models.
- FalsePoint: Image features from appearing objects are matched with correct models but incorrect 3D points with similar appearance. Such errors are usually caused by repetitive structures of objects and/or appearance variations under viewpoint and illumination changes.

In the concerned scenario, the increase in the complexity of query images leads to more BkgdNoise correspondences, while the increase in the number of models leads to more BkgdNoise and FalseModel ones. The inaccuracy of ANN also results in FalseModel and FalsePoint.

The proposed correspondence filtering approach. In contrast to various types of spurious correspondences, almost all the true correspondences are geometrically compatible with each other. Therefore, an intuitive idea is to filter the correspondences according to the geometric relationships between them. However, an exhaustive pairwise filtering could be time-consuming for a large number of correspondences and thus will decay the contribution to efficiency. So it is more efficient to first reduce a proportion of spurious correspondences in a light-weight manner.

According to the above considerations, we propose to filter out different types of spurious correspondences in two steps, as illustrated in Fig. 4. First, a local filtering step efficiently checks every individual correspondence in a local region, based on both statistical and geometric
cues including spatial consistency and co-visibility. Such a step has a linear time complexity to the total number of correspondences, and can remove most of BKGDNOISE and FalseModel spurious correspondences, which are generated almost randomly and thus are unlikely to pass the checks. In this way, local filtering can remove a large proportion of spurious object hypotheses which have few valid correspondences left.

To further filter the spurious correspondences that survive after local filtering, a global filtering step is performed on each remaining object hypothesis separately to verify its related correspondences in a pairwise manner. This step leverages finer-grained 3D geometric cues to evaluate the compatibility between every two correspondences, and finally identifies mutually compatible correspondences for efficient pose estimation. Although the pairwise checks have a quadratic complexity, the overall computational cost is well controlled as there are only a few promising object hypotheses to process, and only a reduced set of correspondences in each hypothesis.

After such a two-step filtering stage, the remaining correspondences are much more accurate and are related to only a few object hypotheses, resulting in a much less effort of the following pose estimation.

4. Algorithms

We first define some notations as follows. In a 3D model $m$, each 3D point $p_i \in P_m$ is a 3D location and is associated with the scale-invariant local features from a set $I_p$ of training images in which $p$ has been observed. A 2D-to-3D correspondence $c_i$ is a triplet $(f_i, p_i, m_i)$, indicating that image feature $f_i$ is matched with 3D point $p_i$ of object model $m_i$ in the database. In a query image, the whole set of correspondences is denoted by $C = \bigcup_{h \in H} C_h$, where $H$ is the set of object hypotheses (i.e., possibly matched models), and $C_h = \{c_i \in C \mid m_i = h\}$ is the subset of correspondences related to hypothesis $h$. The algorithms, integrated with pose estimation, are summarized in Alg. 1.

Algorithm 1: Correspondence Filtering and Pose Estimation

| Input: A set $C = \bigcup_{h \in H} C_h$ of putative 2D-to-3D correspondences |
| Output: Camera pose $P_h^*$ for each object hypothesis $h \in H$ |

begin
foreach correspondence $c_i \in C_m$ do
\quad // Local Filtering
\quad Estimate 2D-3D local support $\mathcal{LS}_{2D-3D}(c_i)$ // Eq. (2)
end
foreach hypothesis $h \in H$ do
\quad // Global Filtering
\quad Back-project 2D feature to 3D location // Eq. (6)
\quad Initialize correspondence graph $G_h = (C_h, \mathcal{E}_h)$
\quad Create edges for compatible correspondences // Eq. (7)
\quad Refine $G_h$ to retain only strong edges // Eq. (8)
end
foreach hypothesis $h \in H$ do
\quad // Pose Estimation
\quad Initialize optimal camera pose $P_h^* \leftarrow 0$
\quad for $\text{RANSAC iteration } r = 1$ to $R$ do
\quad \quad Sample a complete subgraph $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{c_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ from $G_h$
\quad \quad Solve camera pose $P_h$ from correspondences in $\mathcal{S}$
\quad \quad if $P_h$ better fits $C_h$ than $P_h^*$ then $P_h^* \leftarrow P_h$
end
return $P_h^*$
end

end
further checking whether \( c_j \) is also a neighbor of \( c_i \) in 3D space, leading to a 2D-3D local support set

\[
\mathcal{LS}_{2D-3D}(c_i) = \{ c_j \in \mathcal{LS}_{2D}(c_i) \mid p_j \in N \mathcal{N}_{3D}(p_i) \},
\]

where \( N \mathcal{N}_{3D}(p_i) \) is a subset (i.e., up to 5%) of 3D points in model \( m_i \) that are not only spatially closest to \( p_i \) but also co-visible with \( p_i \) from some viewpoints. Here co-visibility is a crucial constraint because adjacency in 3D Euclidean space does not necessarily mean adjacency in real images. For instance, it is unlikely to simultaneously observe the visual patterns on both sides of a coin.

**Object hypothesis ranking.** As the 2D-3D local support roughly rates the confidence of each correspondence, it is straightforward to estimate the confidence of each object hypothesis \( h \) by aggregating the local support of related correspondences \( C_h \) as

\[
\text{conf}(h) = \sum_{c_i \in C_h} |\mathcal{LS}_{2D-3D}(c_i)|,
\]

where \( |\cdot| \) is the cardinality of a set. In this way, all the object hypotheses can be ranked in decreasing order of confidence, and only the top \( N \) are retained for subsequent processing. Each retained hypothesis is also filtered to remove correspondences that have no local support.

### 4.2. Global filtering

After local filtering, putative 2D-to-3D correspondences are roughly filtered and grouped into a small set of promising object hypotheses. However, there still might be spurious correspondences (especially FALSEPOINTER ones) survival, leading to inefficiency in pose estimation. It is therefore necessary to further filter correspondences for each hypothesis from a complementary perspective by checking the 3D geometric compatibility extensively between correspondences.

**Pairwise geometric compatibility check.** To efficiently check whether a set of correspondences are mutually compatible, i.e., possible to be true simultaneously, it is desirable to leverage some geometric properties that are fast to verify and robust to viewpoint changes. In the literature, some 3D geometric properties (e.g., cross-ratio of four collinear points [10], cyclic order of three points [9]) are projective-invariant but are defined on at least three 3D points, leading to high complexity of verification due to combinatorial explosion.

By contrast, we consider a pairwise geometric property, i.e., the Euclidean distance between two 3D points, which is easy to verify and is invariant to 3D coordinate transformations including translation and rotation. Therefore, if two correspondences \( c_i \) and \( c_j \) are compatible, the distance between 3D points \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) in the model coordinate system should be preserved in the camera coordinate system. For each correspondence \( c_i \), we can estimate \( p_i \)'s 3D location \( q_i \) in camera coordinates based on the pinhole camera model, by back-projecting local feature \( f_i \) in image coordinates \((u(f_i), v(f_i))^\top\) along the ray passing through the camera center (as illustrated in Fig. 5 (a)), yielding

\[
q_i = (u(f_i) \cdot D/F, v(f_i) \cdot D/F, D)^\top,
\]

where \( F \) is the known focal length of the query image\(^1\), and \( D \) is the depth of \( q_i \), the only unknown variable to solve.

Inspired by [7], we assume that each 3D point \( p_i \) corresponds to a local patch (on the 3D object surface) associated with an intrinsic absolute size \( \text{size}(p_i) \). Meanwhile, the observed size of \( p_i \) in a 2D image, represented by the scale of local feature \( f_i \), is correlated with the depth and focal length in a pinhole camera model (as shown in Fig. 5 (b)),

\[
D/F \approx \frac{\text{size}(p_i)}{\text{scale}(f_i)}.
\]

Combining equations (4) and (5), we obtain an approximate estimate of \( q_i \) as

\[
\tilde{q}_i = \frac{\text{size}(p_i)}{\text{scale}(f_i)} (u(f_i), v(f_i), F)^\top,
\]

where \( \text{size}(p_i) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_i|} \sum_{f_j \in \mathcal{P}_i} \text{scale}(f_j) D_{f_j} / F_t \) is pre-computed by averaging over each training image \( I \in \mathcal{I}_m \), in which \( p_i \)'s corresponding local feature \( f_j \), depth \( D_{f_j} \), and image focal length \( F_{f_j} \) are known after 3D reconstruction\(^2\).

**Graph-based correspondence filtering.** For each hypothesis \( h \), we check pairwise compatibility between correspondences in \( C_h \) and record the results in an undirected graph

---

\(^1\)In this work we use a webcam with a fixed focal length, which is estimated by calibration. For images captured by modern digital cameras, focal lengths can usually be obtained from EXIF tags.

\(^2\)This approximate estimation performs well for our current goal. Explicitly handling point size variation across viewpoints is a future plan.
$G_h = (C_h, E_h)$, in which each vertex is a correspondence and the edges are created for compatible vertices as

$$E_h = \{ (c_i, c_j) \mid f_i \neq f_j; p_i \neq p_j; \text{IsCovis}(p_i, p_j), \} \quad (7)$$

where Boolean function IsCovis($p_i, p_j$) tests whether two 3D points can be co-visible; $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes L2-norm; $[\alpha, \beta]$ is a tolerance interval set empirically to $[0.8, 1.25]$. 

Such a graph can accelerate RANSAC-based pose estimation by guiding the random sampling of $L \geq 3$ mutually compatible correspondences, which correspond to complete subgraphs of order $L$. To provide efficient sampling, we refine the graph to retain only strong edges $\tilde{E}_h$ that are potentially involved in a desirable complete subgraph as

$$\tilde{E}_h = \{ (c_i, c_j) \in E_h \mid |A_{G_h}(c_i) \cap A_{G_h}(c_j)| \geq L - 2 \}, \quad (8)$$

where $A_{G_h}(c_i)$ is the set of adjacent vertices of $c_i$. With $L = 3$ in this work (for P3P pose solver), it is straightforward to randomly sample $L$ correspondences from the refined graph, by first drawing two adjacent vertices and then drawing another vertex adjacent to both of them. In this way, the RANSAC samples are not only promising but also mutually compatible, resulting in much less iterations.

4.3. Implementation details

**Local feature extraction.** In the implementation, the local features are extracted using Laplacian interest point detector followed by 32-dimensional DAISY descriptors [25]. The features are invariant to scale and rotation, and are much faster to extract than similar features like SIFT.

**Local feature matching.** To match features efficiently, we accelerate the ANN search [2] by using SSE (Streaming SIMD Extensions) instructions for distance computation.

**3D neighborhood and co-visibility test.** The 3D neighborhood and co-visibility relationships between 3D points are pre-computed and stored as look-up tables in memory for efficient processing of query images.

**Parallel implementation.** The computation of feature matching, correspondence filtering, and pose estimation is implemented in parallel using OpenMP. The overall speed achieves ∼3fps for 1280×720 images on an Intel i7-870 Quad-Core 2.93GHz processor.

**Memory requirement.** The entire database, containing 300 3D models, requires 1.2GB memory: 54% for geometry of 3D points, 33% for descriptors, and 13% for a k-d tree.

5. Evaluation

5.1. Experimental settings

**3D object dataset.** To evaluate the proposed method, we constructed a large-scale dataset of 300 3D objects (mainly toys) that are rigid and sufficiently textured, as the target of object recognition. For each object, we captured several hundreds of images from different viewpoints in a clean scene, using a turntable and a webcam with a fixed focal length. After local feature extraction and inter-image feature matching, VisualSFM toolkit [26] was utilized to reconstruct the 3D point cloud models.

We also built a test set consisting of 200 query images (in 1280×720 resolution) captured in various cluttered scenes. Each query image contains a diverse number of objects, from none to five, yielding 500 test objects in total. The scale of the dataset is summarized in Table 1. An illustration of 3D reconstruction is shown in Fig. 6, and some example 3D models and query images are shown in Fig. 1.

To evaluate the scalability, we changed the number of 3D models, denoted by $M$, in the database from 25 to 300. To this end, for each query image with $T$ appearing objects, we built a series of databases with the corresponding $T$ models and $M - T$ irrelevant models that are randomly selected.

**2D-to-3D correspondence initialization.** After 3D reconstruction, all the descriptors of model features are indexed by a k-d tree. For each query image feature, top $K$ model features are first retrieved by ANN search on the k-d tree and then truncated by a threshold of descriptor distance. To find enough true correspondences, $K$ is empirically set to be proportional to the database size $M$ as $K = \lfloor \frac{M}{10} \rfloor$. Finally, these feature matches are aggregated into correspondences between image features and 3D points.

5.2. Evaluation of correspondence filtering

Local filtering and global filtering were evaluated to verify their respective contributions to the proposed solution.

**Object hypothesis ranking.** We first evaluated the effectiveness of local filtering in identifying promising object
hypotheses from a given set of correspondences. The proposed local filtering method, abbreviated as 2D-3D-LF, was compared with two baseline methods: 1) 2D-LF relies on 2D local support instead of 2D-3D local support to estimate hypothesis confidence; 2) NumCorr simply treats the number of related correspondences as the confidence of a hypothesis. The ranking performance is measured by the recall of true hypotheses at top $N$, and by the minimum $N$ that achieves a specified recall. The results shown in Fig. 7 indicate that 2D-3D-LF significantly outperforms the baselines, in which 2D-LF lacks consistency check in 3D and thus overestimates the confidence of some spurious hypotheses. According to Fig. 7 (b), 2D-3D-LF can rank most of ($\geq 70\%$) true hypotheses at top $N$, where $N$ increases slowly with the database size and is set to $N = 25$ for all the database sizes in the following experiments.

**Improving inlier ratio of correspondences.** We evaluated the effectiveness of local filtering (LF) and global filtering (GF) in improving the inlier ratio, i.e., the proportion of true correspondences out of all correspondences in the test set. The ground-truth of true correspondences were obtained by exhaustive RANSAC. The correspondences after LF are collected from top $N = 25$ object hypotheses, while the remaining correspondences after GF are those connected by strong edges in the refined correspondence graphs. The results shown in Fig. 8 indicate that both LF and GF make their respective contributions to improving the inlier ratio. With the database size increasing, the improved inlier ratio drops much more slowly than the raw inlier ratio, which is very sensitive to the database size.

**5.3. Evaluation of scalable 3D object recognition**

In this subsection, we report the evaluation results of the proposed solution in the scalable 3D object recognition task, with the database size $M$ ranging from 25 to 300.

**Methods.** Our full solution, abbreviated as LF+GF, were compared with several baseline methods as follows:

- GF (pure Global Filtering) directly performs global filtering and pose estimation for all the object hypotheses.
- LF (pure Local Filtering) directly performs pose estimation for top $N$ object hypotheses after local filtering.
- LF+VC (View-Constrained RANSAC [12]) leverages co-visibility between 3D points to guide pose estimation for top $N$ hypotheses identified by local filtering.
- EV (Exhaustive Verification) verifies all the object hypotheses by RANSAC-based pose estimation.
- EV+VC enhances EV by View-Constrained RANSAC.

For all methods, pose estimation is implemented by P3P algorithm integrated in a RANSAC loop [6], where the number of iterations is initialized as a maximum value $R$ and reduced adaptively as in [10]. An object hypothesis is accepted as a recognized object if the estimated pose has at least 8 inlier correspondences.

**Effectiveness.** The effectiveness of object recognition is measured by the precision and recall computed from the recognized objects and the known objects in query images. With $N = 25$ and $R \in \{100, 1k, 10k\}$, the comparison of recall is shown in Fig. 9, while the precision is almost always 1.0 and is thus ignored. Several observations can be drawn from the results. 1) LF+GF and GF have comparable performance with LF+VC and EV+VC, while using only 1% of the RANSAC iterations. 2) With the database size $M$ increasing, the performance of LF+GF and GF is more stable than that of other methods, especially EV and EV+VC which suffer much from noisy correspondences on large databases ($M \geq 200$). 3) GF slightly outperforms LF+GF on large databases, at the expense of less efficiency (as shown in the next experiment). 4) Global filtering greatly reduces the number of necessary RANSAC iterations due to the power of pairwise geometric validation.
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which rely on local filtering to reduce spurious hypotheses. Table 2 lists the detailed time cost (when the database size is 300), which indicates that RANSAC-based pose estimation is no longer the efficiency bottleneck in the proposed solution. Moreover, the per-image processing time 0.86s is fast enough to reach a speed of ~3fps on a quad-core machine.

6. Conclusion and future work

We have presented an efficient approach for filtering highly noisy 2D-to-3D correspondences. The proposed method leverages several 2D/3D geometric cues to remove spurious correspondences, and can significantly reduce the computational burden of RANSAC-based pose estimation. This work therefore enhances the 2D-to-3D registration framework to enable scalable object recognition in cluttered scenes based on 3D models. Promising results have been achieved on a dataset of 300 3D objects.

The current work can be improved in several aspects: 1) exploring more 3D geometric cues (e.g., viewpoint variation) for efficient filtering; 2) combining with fast 2D-to-3D matching methods [15, 21, 17] for further acceleration; 3) handling textureless and deformable objects.
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